UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,530	01/06/2004	Junichi Komagata	SON-2895	3306
	7590	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDI	NG	SOL, ANTHONY M		
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2465	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/751,530	KOMAGATA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

.	LXAIIIIICI	Arconne					
	ANTHONY SOL	2465					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	•					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	diango with 27 CED 41 27 must be	filed within two month	o of the data of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	by a standard by later conflict a bulge						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further contact. 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	i L below),					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		imaly filed amendmen	at cancaling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	iowabie ii subiliitted iii a separate, i	intery filed afficiliance	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>27-48</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Jayanti K. Patel/	/A. S./						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2465	Examiner, Art Unit 2465						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues beginning on pg. 8 of Remarks that Li fails to dislose presence or absence of a real time packet request by detailing how each steps of figs. 5, 6, and 8 do not meet the aforementioned limitation. Consequently, the applicant argues that Li fails to disclose confirming presence or absence of a real time packet request. The applicant likewise argues on pg. 12 that Li does not disclose confirming presence or absence of a non-real time packet request.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. While the applicant's argument points out various steps of Li's fig. 8, it fails to address step 207 which contains a "ANY HIGH PRIORITY PACKETS?" question to be decided (claimed "confirming presence or absence of a real time packet request" wherein "HIGH PRIORITY PACKET" is mapped to "real time packet") (see col. 13, lines 52-56, "The steps of selecting and forwarding high priority packets may be performed as a single step (e.g. if there are any queued high priority packets, selecting and forwarding the queued high priority packet with the smallest finish time) as indicated by 207"). Whether a packet is a high priority or low priorty (see col. 13, lines 38-40) is itself a "request commanding a stream transmitting portion to schedule a transmission of a real time packet" as claimed because Li as cited above discloses that "if there are any queued high priority packets, selecting and forwarding the queued high priority packet."

Likewise, the applicant fails to address step 211 of fig. 8 which contains a "ANY LOW PRIORTY PACKETS?" question to be decided (claimed "confirming presence or absence of a non-real time packet request") wherein "LOW PRIORITY PACKET" is mapped to "non-real time packet") (see, col. 13, lines 56-60, "and the step of selecting and forwarding the low priority packet may also be performed as a single step (e.g. if there are any queued low priority packets, selecting and forwarding the queued low priority packet with the smallest finish time) as indicated by 211"). Whether a packet is a high priority or low priority (see col. 13, lines 38-40) is itself a "request commanding said stream transmitting portion to schedule a transmission of a non-real time packet" as claimed because Li as cited above discloses that "if there are any queued low priority packets, selecting and forwarding the low priority packet."

Therefore, the examiner maintains that Li discloses the limitations of the independent claims 27 and 36.